

**Minutes of the Planning Committee Meeting of North Curry Parish Council  
held in the Village Hall on Wednesday 26<sup>th</sup> February 2020 at 7.30 p.m.**

**Present:** Mr Turner (Chairman), Mrs Stodgell, Mrs Vaughan, Ms C Smith, Mr Cable, Mrs Leader

**Members of the Public:** Mr & Mrs Heuff, P. Ough, S. Pellow, C. Alers-Hankey, C. A Brown.

- 1 **APOLOGIES** – Mrs Griffiths, Mr Jeanes
- 2 **TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE PLANNING MEETING HELD ON 29<sup>th</sup> JANUARY 2020.**  
Mrs Vaughan proposed the minutes were a true record of the meeting; Mr Cable seconded the proposal, which was carried. Mrs Leader abstained as she was absent.
- 3 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST** – None.
- 4 **MATTERS ARISING** – None.
- 5 **APPLICATIONS FOR COMMENT BY NORTH CURRY PARISH COUNCIL**

**24/18/0039 - Change of use of land from agricultural to equestrian with formation of a riding manege and erection of a stable block, improvements to access with formation of associated parking and hardstanding on land at 2 Borough Post, North Curry as amended by plans received on 12 November 2018.** The Chairman explained the application and the amendments that have been made since the original application in 2018. The most significant changes included the stable block moving from the original planned location and reducing in size, and planned flood lighting in the manege has now been removed. There was considerable discussion between all parties. However, the main concern amongst the Cllrs. was the impact of the build on the ridgeline. Mrs Stodgell proposed the committee object to the application, based on the current planned position of the stable building, which it was felt, was too close to the North Curry ridgeline. It was then added that if the stable building was further down the field, away from the ridgeline, the PC would be minded to support the application, this was seconded by Mrs Vaughan, which was carried. Mrs Leader was against this proposal as she felt the stable building was in the best place on the current plans.

**24/19/0049 - Replacement and relocation of stables at Steed barn, Lillesdon Lane, North Curry** – The Chairman explained the application. Following some discussion regarding the use of the courtyard area in conjunction with the property, the Chairman proposed the committee support the application, with the condition that this is purely for the use of the equestrian facilities and not to be added as curtilage to the property, seconded by Mrs Leader, which was carried.

**24/19/0050 - Replacement of dwelling and outbuildings with the erection of 1 No. chalet style dwelling with integral garage and formation of vehicular access at Applegarth, Stoke Road, North Curry – AMENDMENT** – The Chairman explained the amendment, which was a slight change to the entrance, Mrs Stodgell proposed the committee support the amendment, seconded by Mrs Vaughan which was carried.

**24/20/0001/T - Application to carry out management works to one Oak tree included in Taunton Deane Borough (North Curry No.1) Tree Preservation Order 1997 at Manor End, The Fosse, North Curry (TD724)**

**24/20/0002/T - Notification to carry out management works to one Cherry tree within North Curry Conservation Area at Manor End, The Fosse, North Curry** – The Chairman explained the location of the trees and why the works were required, following which he proposed the committee were in support of this, subject to the tree wardens approval. This was seconded by Mrs Stodgell, which was carried.

**24/20/0004/LB - Replacement of windows at 2 Queens Square, North Curry** – the Chairman explained the requested changes along with photos from the conservation report. Due to the building being listed, the

alterations are in keeping with the property. The Chairman proposed that the application is supported, in line with the conservation officers' recommendation, seconded by Mrs Smith, which was carried.

- 6 **PERMISSIONS / REFUSALS RECEIVED** – None. However, Mrs Smith attended the planning meeting where the proposed bungalow at the rear of Town Farm had been discussed. Although Highways were satisfied with the proposed entrance and sight line, all except one person refused the application on the grounds of the visibility splay.
- 7 **PLANNING CORRESPONDENCE** - None
- 8 **MATTERS FOR DISCUSSION** – None

**There being no further matters to discuss, the meeting was closed at 8.37pm**